ABBREVIATED PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

This checklist can be used to help the site investigator determine if an Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment (APA) is warranted. This checklist should document the rationale for the decision on whether further steps in the site investigation process are required under CERCLA. Use additional sheets, if necessary.

Checklist Preparer:		Kon King			1-66-06			
		(Name/Title)			(Date)	. •		
		(Address)			(Phone)			
		(E-Mail Address)						
Site	Name:	usca	<u>c- </u>	CIO TO 1	ULR-65504			
Prev	vious Names (if any):							
Site Location:		221 M. 55,55, pp. River DR						
		(Street) Keo Ku	k	V	TA 32/33	-		
Y ~424	4	(City)		T 4 1.	(ST) (Zip)			
Lau	tude:			Longitude:	ID# 12 66 90	Scio	1+0	
Desc	cribe the release (or pote	ntial release) and its	probable nat	ure:	ID# ta 66 90	3900.	20	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					6-06		
							BV	
							<u> </u>	
Part	1 - Superfund Eligibili	ty Evaluation						
	all answers are "no" go		ise proceed t	o Part 3.		YES	NO	
1.	Is the site currently in (CERCLIS or an "alias"	of another si	te?		12		
2.	Is the site being addres	sed by some other rem	edial progran	n (Federal, State, or	Tribal)?	0		
3.	petroleum, natural gas,	natural gas liquids, sy	nthetic gas us	able for fuel, norma	statutory exclusion (e.g., al application of fertilizer, JMTRCA, or OSHA)?			
4.	Are the hazardous subsideferred to RCRA corr		ased at the sit	e excluded by polic	y considerations (i.e.,		F	
5.		in health impacts exists we ARARs, completed	s (e.g., compr	ehensive remedial i	that could cause adverse nvestigation equivalent data score determined, or an			
Pleas	se explain all ''yes'' ansv	ver(s).						
		40234865						
			H +					
			<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	SU	PERFUND RECORDS	<u>.</u> !					

Part 2 - Initial Site Evaluation

For Part 2, if information is not available to make a "yes" or "no" response, further investigation may be needed. In these cases, determine whether an APA is appropriate. Exhibit 1 parallels the questions in Part 2. Use Exhibit 1 to make decisions in Part 3.

If the answer is "no" to any of questions 1, 2, or 3, proceed directly to Part 3.				
1.	Does the site have a release or a potential to release?	K	(0)	
2.	Does the site have uncontained sources containing CERCLA eligible substances?			
3.	Does the site have documented on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets?			
			•	
	he answers to questions 1, 2, and 3 above were all "yes" then answer the questions below before beeding to Part 3.	YES	NO	
4.	Does documentation indicate that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site?		12/	
5.	Is there an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site?			
6.	Is there an apparent release and no documented on-site targets or targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets (e.g., targets within 1 mile)?			
7.	Is there no indication of a hazardous substance release, and there are uncontained sources containing CERCLA hazardous substances, but there is a potential to release with targets present on site or in proximity to the site?	ا ال		
Note	S:			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		·		

EXHIBIT 1 SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION GUIDELINES FOR A SITE

Exhibit 1 identifies different types of site information and provides some possible recommendations for further site assessment activitions based on that information. You will use Exhibit 1 in determining the need for further action at the site, based on the answers to the questions in Part 2. Please use your professional judgement when evaluating a site. Your judgement may be different from the general recommendations for a site given below.

Suspected/Documented Site Conditions			APA	Full PA	PA/SI	SI
1.	There are no releases or potential to rele	Yes	No	No	No	
2.	No uncontained sources with CERCLA present on site.	Yes	No	No	No	
3.	There are no on-site, adjacent, or nearby	Yes	No	No	No	
4.	There is documentation indicating that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site.	Option 1: APA ➪ SI	Yes	No	No	Yes
		Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes .	NA
5.	There is an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed	Option 1: APA ⇔ SI	Yes	. No	No	Yes
	targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site.	Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes	NA
6.	There is an apparent release and no doc and no documented targets immediately there are nearby targets. Nearby targets located within 1 mile of the site and hav likelihood of exposure to a hazardous su the site.	No	Yes	No	No	
7.	There is no indication of a hazardous su are uncontained sources containing CEI substances, but there is a potential to rel on site or in proximity to the site.	No ·	Yes	No	No	

Part 3 - EPA Site Assessment Decision

When completing Part 3, use Part 2 and Exhibit 1 to select the appropriate decision. For example, if the answer to question 1 in Part 2 was "no," then an APA may be performed and the "NFRAP" box below should be checked. Additionally, if the answer to question 4 in Part 2 is "yes," then you have two options (as indicated in Exhibit 1): Option 1 — conduct an APA and check the "Lower Priority SI" or "Higher Priority SI" box below; or Option 2 — proceed with a combined PA/SI assessment.

Cheek the box that applies based on the conclusions of the APA:							
\times	NFRAP		Refer to Removal Program - further site assessment needed				
	Higher Priority SI		Refer to Removal Program - NFRAP				
	Lower Priority SI		Site is being addressed as part of another CERCLIS site				
	Defer to RCRA Subtitle	C	☐ Other:				
	Defer to NRC						
Reg	ional EPA Reviewer:	Print Name/Signature	Liver 1-26-06				

A desk-top review was conducted on the site file. The following is a summary of this review:

In 1987 a "Potential Hazardous Waste Site Identification" (EPA Form 2070-11 (7-81)) was filled out by EPA. This form was filled out to account for the RCRA storage unit (temporary) that was identified. As a result this site was placed into CERCLIS.

When it was determined that there was no further Superfund activity warranted at this site a "Final Strategy Determination Form", (EPA Form T2070-5 (10-79)) was completed. The determination on that form was 'needs no docket PA'.

To account for this activity a decision was made to prepare an Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment. The APA makes a smooth transition from the site being placed into CERCLIS and requiring a site assessment requirement.

NOTES: